



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,651	06/30/2006	Mark A. Rydell	32355.12.8.1	3822
22859	7590	07/06/2007	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			3733	
SUITE 4000			MAIL DATE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			07/06/2007	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,651	RYDELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Pedro Philogene	3733		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: ____ .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 12, 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pascarella et al. (5,326,366).

With respect to claims 1, 22, Pascarella et al discloses a system for the creation or modification of an orthopedic joint within a mammalian body, the system comprising a polymeric implant (22) that provides a major surface adapted to be positioned against a metatarsal bone and an end (30) adapted to be retained within a phalange; as set forth in column 2, lines 60-68, column 3, lines 1-5.

With respect to claims 2-8, 19,20 Pascarella et al disclose all the limitations, as set forth in column 2, lines 6-68, and as best seen in FIGS.1-6.

With respect to claims 12, 21 Pascarella et al disclose a kit comprising an implant as set forth above, and one or more devices adapted to perform one or more steps selected from the group consisting of preparing the joint to receive an implant determining appropriate implant size for particular joint, inserting the implant into the joint, and/or securing the implant to a desired extent; as set forth 4, lines 57-68, column 5, lines 1-22.

With respect to claims 23,24 Pascarella et al discloses all the limitations, as set forth in column 2, lines 6-68, column 4, lines 57-68, column 5, lines 1-22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pascarella et al. (5,326,366).

With respect to claims 9-11, it is noted that Pascarella et al did not teach of biomaterial comprising a polyurethane and compatible with respect to cytotoxicity and has a shore hardness of at least about 60 D or less; as claimed by applicant. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known biomaterial, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pascarella et al. (5,326,366) in view of Bramlet (5,984,970).

With respect to claims 13-18, it is noted that Pascarella et al did not teach of a kit including a reamer, a depth stop, a bone smoother and a diameter gauge, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Bramlet evidences the use of a kit including all of the above to prepare a cavity of a joint assembly.

Therefore, given the teaching of Bramlet, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a kit, as taught by Bramlet to prepare the cavity of Pascarella et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,725,585	3-1998	Zobel
3,651,521	3-1972	Devas

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene
June 21, 2007


PEDRO PHILogene
PRIMARY EXAMINER